IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2885 OF 2005

Chandramohan Dali & Ors. ... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Shobhana Gopal for Petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Shah for R. No. 3.

Mr. S.R.Chauhan with Mr. A.N. Kotangale and Mr. Arun Nagaraj for R. Nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: AUGUST 28, 2006

P.C.:

. Respondent No. 2 is present. Considering the appearance put up on behalf of Respondent Nos.. 1 and 2, order dated 7th June, 2006 is recalled.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. On behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2, their learned counsel on instructions makes a statement that on examination of records, they have found names of 13 persons as having paid the tax. In respect of those 13 persons, on those 13 persons furnishing indemnity bond, the amounts will be released in their favour. In so far as remaining four at Sr. Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 14, if they produce either Form No. 24, containing their names or

certificate from ITC showing that the tax has been deducted from their salary and deposited with the Government, on production of such documents, in their case also the amounts will be released. Considering the above, in respect of those whose cases have been verified by Respondent Nos. 1 and 2, the amounts will be released within 15 days on furnishing of the indemnity bond and in so far as others are concerned, after due verification.

. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

. On behalf of Petitioner, the learned counsel points out that there are several other workmen whose cases have not been considered. The Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are directed to consider these cases and pass orders accordingly. It is made clear that the cases considered by Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 will be those pertaining to Mumbai territorial jurisdiction.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**